Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,407	LAKSHMAN, GURUNATHAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael R. Williams</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 May 2008</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art rejections as stated in the Prior Office action dated 10.	/5/07	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's view of pages 7-9 of the specification and the Lakshman Decla	Amendment to patentably disting	uish over the prior art of record in
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
/Peter A. Hruskoci/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	(Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)